

CLASSIFICATION	<b>CONFIDENTIAL</b>	REPORT	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY		DISTRIBUTION	
INFORMATION FROM			
FOREIGN DOCUMENTS OR RADIO BROADCASTS		CD NO.	

50X1-HUM

COUNTRY	USSR	DATE OF INFORMATION	1950
SUBJECT	Scientific - Geophysics, petroleum, wells		
HOW PUBLISHED	Thrice-monthly periodical	DATE DIST.	14 Nov 1950
WHERE PUBLISHED	Moscow	NO. OF PAGES	2
DATE PUBLISHED	21 Aug 1950	SUPPLEMENT TO REPORT NO.	
LANGUAGE	Russian		

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF ESPIONAGE ACT OF U. S. C. 1940 AND 22 U.S.C. AMENDED. ITS TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW. REPRODUCTION OF THIS FORM IS PROHIBITED.

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

SOURCE Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR, Vol LXXIII, No 6, 1950, pp 1,141-1,142

DETERMINATION OF GAS-DEPOSIT PARAMETERS  
FROM WELL-TEST DATA IN USSR

B. B. Lapuk  
V. A. Yevdokimova

Studies (1-5) on the full exploitation of gas deposits have up to now involved the solution of so-called direct problems, in which changes in liquid and gas reserves and pressure during exploitation are predicted from data on the deposit's productive area, or on the size and water-pressure system and on the effective width, porosity, and permeability. Practical methods for solving these direct problems are difficult to apply, however, because of the lack of reliable data on the average values of gas-deposit parameters.

Thus, beside laboratory core samples and other geological and geophysical data necessary for complete study of gas collectors, methods of gas dynamics should be developed to determine deposit parameters. These methods could supplement core sample data on local values of the deposit's porosity, permeability, and effective width.

The first phase of nonstationary gas filtration (3, 6) should determine deposit parameters. In this case, the problem can be considered plano-radial (for hydrodynamically perfect wells); the "radius of depression funnel" (or distances  $R_k$  to the specific source contour is easily found from conditions of mass balance, while boundary conditions are necessarily given by the proper well tests.

Gas-well tests, where well pressure  $P_w$  is constant, measure the time variation in the well reserves  $Q(t)$  and gas output  $Q_o(t)$ , related thus

$$Q(t) = \frac{B}{T \pi R_K} \frac{k_b}{\mu} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{and } Q_o(t) = \int_0^t Q(t) dt = 2 \pi R_w b m P_K (R_K^2 - 1) (1 - \xi), \quad (2)$$

- 1 -

**CONFIDENTIAL**

CLASSIFICATION		CONFIDENTIAL		DISTRIBUTION					
STATE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAVY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSRB							
ARMY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FBI							

**CONFIDENTIAL**

CONFIDENTIAL

50X1-HUM

where  $B = 2\pi/P_{am}(P_k^2 - P_w^2)$ ;  $R_k^* = R_k/R_w$ ;  $\zeta = \tilde{P}/P_k$ ;  $k$  is the deposit's permeability;  $b$  is its effective width;  $\mu$  is the absolute viscosity of the gas;  $P_{am}$ ,  $\tilde{P}$ , and  $P_k$  are, respectively, atmospheric pressure, deposit pressure (averaged over volume, and pressure at the supply contour;  $R_w$  is well radius;  $m$  is porosity (3, 4, 6).

To determine the conductivity parameter  $kb/\mu$  from carottage diagrams and approximate data on the porosity  $n$ , we must estimate the product  $bm$ .

Further, from (2) we find  $R_k^*$  for data on  $\varepsilon = P_w/P_k$ ; the quantity  $\zeta = \zeta(R_k^*, \varepsilon)$  in (2) is determined from a graph or the formula:

$$\zeta = 1 - \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{2} (1/2 \cdot 1n R_k^* - 1/R_k^{*2} - 1) \quad (3)$$

Knowing  $R_k^*$  and  $Q$  for a particular moment, we can determine average  $kb/\mu$ 's for different  $R_k$ 's from (1).

We made special tests on gas wells to determine deposit parameters, the well pressure being held constant by pressure regulators.

Processing the test data by the above-described method, we found a set of values for  $kb/\mu$ . Comparison of this set with that of  $kb/\mu$  determined from prolonged exploitation data showed only a small 7-9% divergence, which obviously verifies satisfactorily our method for determining the conductivity parameter  $kb/\mu$  for hydrodynamically perfect wells from data obtained by testing wells for constant well pressure.

Determination of the parameter  $bm$  from test data on wells is still an unsolved problem. Studies should be continued in this field and attention should be given to the possible employment of data giving the increase of pressure in a well, after being capped, to find  $bm$ .

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. L. S. Leybenzon, Mechanics of the Oil Industry, II, Moscow, 1934.
2. L. S. Leybenzon, Movement of Natural Gases and Fluids in Porous Media, Moscow, 1947.
3. B. B. Lapuk, Theoretical Principles Governing the Exploitation of Natural-Gas Deposits, Moscow-Leningrad, 1948.
4. V. N. Shchelkachev and B. B. Lapuk, Subterranean Hydraulics, Moscow-Leningrad, 1949.
5. B. B. Lapuk, Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR, 73, No 4, 1950.
6. V. A. Yevdokimova, Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR, 73, No 1, 1950.

- E N D -

CONFIDENTIAL

**CONFIDENTIAL**